



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tispto.gov

PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/717,966	11/21/2000	Martijn Johannes Lambertus Emons	PHN 17,746	9680	
24737 75	590 06/24/2004		EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			CAO, CHUN		
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF I	MANOR, NY 10510	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2115	7	
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004	, /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

m

	Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)	In		
. Office Action Summary		966	EMONS, MARTIJN LAMBERTUS) JOHANNES		
		ər	Art Unit			
	Chun Ca	ao	2115			
The MAILING DATE of this com	munication appears on th	ne cover sheet with t	the correspondence ad	dress		
Period for Reply			. _			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this or - If the period for reply specified above is less than thi If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximut - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e communication. irty (30) days, a reply within the stu um statutory period will apply and u reply will, by statute, cause the ap nths after the mailing date of this c	event, however, may a reply atutory minimum of thirty (30 will expire SIX (6) MONTHS oplication to become ABAND	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely from the mailing date of this condition (35 U.S.C. § 133).	γ. ommunication.		
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>02 June 2004</i> .					
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is					
3) Since this application is in condit	tion for allowance excep	ot for formal matters	, prosecution as to the	merits is		
closed in accordance with the pr	actice under Ex parte Q	<i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the	he application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from co	onsideration.				
5) Claim(s) <u>3,11 and 15</u> is/are allow	ved.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-10,12-14 and 16-</u>	<u>19</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to	0.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to re-	striction and/or election	requirement.				
Application Papers				<		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to b	y the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/	are: a)□ accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any o	objection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu	ding the correction is requi	ired if the drawing(s) i	s objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected	ed to by the Examiner. N	lote the attached Of	ffice Action or form PT	O-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla	aim for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None c	of:					
1. Certified copies of the prio	-					
2. Certified copies of the prio	*					
3. Copies of the certified cop	•		eived in this National	Stage		
application from the Intern	•	, ,,				
* See the attached detailed Office a	iction for a list of the cer	tified copies not rec	eived.			
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie		Paper No(s)/M	ail Date			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	19 or PTO/SB/08)	5)	mal Patent Application (PTO)-152)		

Art Unit: 2115

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-19 are presented for examination.
- 2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/2/04 has been entered.
- 3. The text of those applicable section of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-2, 4-10, 12-14 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conary et al. (Conary), U.S. Patent No. 5,481,731 in view of Carmean et al. (Carmean), U.S. Patent No. 5,669,003.

Conary and Carmean are prior art references cited in prior office action.

As per claim 1, Conary discloses a data processing system [computer system, fig. 1] which adapted to function in a reduced-power mode, comprising a first data processing unit [a processor] that has access to a memory [a cache] belonging to the

4.1

Art Unit: 2115

first data processing unit [col. 2, lines 11-14] and a second data processing unit [devices or main memory, col. 20, lines 1-4] that has access to the memory belonging to the first data processing unit [col. 2, lines 24-29; col. 19, line 60-col. 20, line 6; col. 21, lines 52-62];

characterized in that the first data processing unit is arranged for offering the second data processing unit access to the memory belonging to the first data processing unit in a reduced-power mode of the data processing system [col. 2, lines 24-29; col. 19, line 60-col. 20, line 6; col. 21, lines 52-62].

Conary does not explicitly disclose the second processing unit having its own memory, so that the second data processing unit utilizes the memory belonging to the first data processing unit instead of its own memory.

Carmean discloses a second processing unit [second microprocessor] having its own memory [local cache], so that the second data processing unit utilizes the memory belonging to the first data processing unit instead of its own memory [col. 6, lines 11-22; col. 7, lines 58-63].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time the invention to combine the teachings of Conary and Carmean because the specify teachings of Carmean stated above would have improved the functionality and performance of the Conary system by allowing the second processing unit accesses the memory instead of its own memory while the data processing system in a reduced power.

As per claim 2, Conary discloses that the first data processing unit is arranged for offering the second data processing unit access to the memory belonging to the first

Art Unit: 2115

data processing unit in a period of time in which the reduced-power mode of the data processing system implies a reduced-power mode of the first data processing unit [col. 2, lines 24-29; col. 19, line 60-col. 20, line 6; col. 21, lines 52-62].

As per claim 4, Conary discloses that the memory belonging to the first data processing unit forms part of the first data processing unit [fig. 2].

As per claim 5, Conary discloses that the memory belonging to the first data processing unit is a cache memory [fig. 2; col. 4, lines 61-62].

As per claim 6, Conary discloses that the first data processing unit is a microprocessor [fig. 2; col. 4, lines 61-62].

As per claim 7, Conary discloses a video controller [a display device, col. 4, line 22. Since the computer system comprises a display device, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a video controller in order to control video display in the display device].

6. As per claim 8, Conary discloses a data processing unit having access to a memory belonging to the data processing unit which data processing unit may be situated in a reduced-power mode, characterized in that the data processing unit is arranged for offering access in the reduced-power mode to the memory belonging to the data processing unit [col. 2, lines 24-29; col. 19, line 60-col. 20, line 6; col. 21, lines 52-62].

Conary does not explicitly disclose the second processing unit having a second memory of its own.

Art Unit: 2115

Carmean discloses a second processing unit [second microprocessor] having a second memory of its own [local cache; col. 6, lines 11-22; col. 7, lines 58-63].

As per claim 9, Conary discloses a mechanism that allow the first data processing unit to offer the second data processing unit access to the memory belonging to the first data processing unit in the reduced-power mode [col. 2, lines 24-29; col. 19, line 60-col. 20, line 6; col. 21, lines 52-62].

As per claim 10, Conary discloses the second memory unit can be accessed by system components other than the first data processing unit in the reduced-power mode [col. 19, line 60-col. 20, line 6].

As per claim 12, Conary discloses that the memory belonging to the first data processing unit is a cache memory [fig. 2; col. 4, lines 61-62].

7. As per claim 13 is written in means plus function format and contained same limitations as claim 1, therefore same rejection is applied.

As per claim 14, Conary discloses the second memory unit can be accessed by system components other than the first data processing unit in the reduced-power mode [col. 19, line 60-col. 20, line 6].

As per claim 16, Conary discloses that the memory belonging to the first data processing unit forms part of the first data processing unit [fig. 2].

As per claim 17, Conary discloses that the memory belonging to the first data processing unit is a cache memory [fig. 2; col. 4, lines 61-62].

As per claim 18, Conary discloses that the first data processing unit is a microprocessor [fig. 2; col. 4, lines 61-62].

Art Unit: 2115

As per claim 19, Conary discloses a video controller [a display device 121, col. 4, line 22. Since the computer system comprises a display device, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the computer art to include a video controller in order to control video display for the display device].

8. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carmean et al. (Carmean), U.S. Patent No. 5,669,003.

As per claim 8, Carmean discloses a data processing unit having access to a memory belonging to the data processing unit which data processing unit may be situated in a reduced-power mode, characterized in that the data processing unit is arranged for offering access in the reduced-power mode to the memory belonging to the data processing unit to a second data processing unit [a second microprocessor] having a second memory unit [local cache] of its own [col. 6, lines 11-22; col. 7, lines 58-63].

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 3, 11 and 15 are allowable over prior art.
- 10. Applicant's arguments filed on 6/2/2004 have been fully considered but are moot in view of new ground(s) of rejection.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chun Cao at (703) 308-6106. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am - 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by phone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Thomas Lee can be reached at (703) 305-9717. The fax number for this Art Unit is following: Official (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5631.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chun Cao

June 22, 2004